

Borchers' Commutation Relations for Sectors with Braid Group Statistics in Low Dimensions

Jens Mund*

Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora,
36036-900 Juiz de Fora, MG, Brazil.
E-mail: mund@fisica.ufjf.br

June 11, 2008

Abstract

Borchers has shown that in a translation covariant vacuum representation of a theory of local observables with positive energy the following holds: The (Tomita) modular objects associated with the observable algebra of a fixed wedge region give rise to a representation of the subgroup of the Poincaré group generated by the boosts and the reflection associated to the wedge, and the translations. We prove here that Borchers' theorem also holds in charged sectors with (possibly non-Abelian) braid group statistics in low space-time dimensions. Our result is a crucial step towards the Bisognano-Wichmann theorem for Plektons in $d = 3$, namely that the mentioned modular objects generate a representation of the proper Poincaré group, including a CPT operator. Our main assumptions are Haag duality of the observable algebra, and translation covariance with positive energy as well as finite statistics of the sector under consideration.

Introduction

Borchers has shown [3] that in a theory of local observables, which is translation covariant with positive energy, the modular objects associated with the observable algebra of a (Rindler) wedge region and the vacuum state have certain specific commutation relations with the representers of the translations. Namely, these commutation relations manifest that the corresponding unitary modular group implements the group of boosts which leave the wedge invariant, and that the corresponding modular conjugation implements the reflection about the edge of the wedge. Borchers' theorem has profound consequences. For example in two-dimensional theories it means that the modular objects generate a representation of the proper Poincaré group, under which the observables behave covariant, and implies the CPT theorem. In higher dimensions, it is a crucial step towards the Bisognano-Wichmann theorem in the general context of local quantum physics [4, 7, 9, 23, 25, 30, 33]. This theorem asserts that a certain class of Poincaré covariant theories enjoys the property of

*Supported by FAPEMIG.

modular covariance, namely that the mentioned unitary modular group coincides with the representers of the boosts, and that the modular conjugation is a CPT operator (where ‘PT’ means the reflection about the edge of the wedge).

The hypothesis under which Borchers’ theorem works is the double role played by the vacuum vector within a theory of local algebras: The vacuum is cyclic and separating for the local algebras, and it is invariant under the positive energy representation of the translation group under which these algebras are covariant. In a charged sector, i.e., a non-vacuum representation of the observables, this situation is not given. (This problem has been posed by Borchers in [5, Sect. VII.4].) In the case of permutation group statistics, one can use the field algebra instead of the observable algebra to recover the result. However, in low-dimensional space-time there may occur superselection sectors with braid group statistics [17, 20]. Then only in the Abelian case there is a field (C^*) algebra for which the vacuum is cyclic and separating. In the case of non-Abelian braid group statistics, there is no such field algebra. Due to this complication, a general result corresponding to Borchers’ theorem has not been achieved yet. In the present article, we prove an analogue of Borchers’ theorem for a superselection sector corresponding to a localizable charge. The implementers of the boosts and the reflection which we find are the relative modular objects associated with the observable algebra of the wedge, the vacuum state and some specific state in the conjugate sector. We assume that the observable algebra satisfies Haag duality, see Eq. (7), and that the sector under consideration has finite statistics and positive energy, and is irreducible. We also need a slightly stronger irreducibility property (12), which may be ensured by requiring for example Lorentz covariance or the split property. We consider charges which are localizable in space-like cones¹, and admit the case of non-Abelian braid group statistics which can occur in low space-time dimensions, $d = 2$ and 3 .

It must be noted that in two dimensions, our result is already practically covered by the work of Guido and Longo [21]. Namely, they show how a certain condition of modular covariance in the vacuum sector allows, under the same hypothesis as in the present article, for the construction of a (ray) representation of the proper Poincaré group in charged sectors. But in two dimensions, their modular covariance condition is satisfied due to Borchers’ theorem (in the vacuum sector), so their analysis goes through, even in sectors with Braid group statistics. However, it must be noted that in $d = 2$ the assumption of Haag duality excludes some massive models with braid group statistics as e.g. the anyonic sectors of the CAR algebra [1], and together with the split property for wedges (expected to hold in massive models) excludes localizable charges altogether [28].

Our result shall be used to derive the CPT and Bisognano-Wichmann theorems for particles with braid group statistics in three-dimensional space-time [29]. It would be gratifying to extend our analysis to soliton sectors in 2 dimensions, which would extend the range (and simplify the proof) of Rehrens’ CPT theorem for solitons [31].

¹A space-like cone is, in $d \geq 3$, a convex cone in Minkowski space generated by a double cone and a point in its causal complement, and in $d = 2$ the causal completion thereof, which is a wedge region.

1 General Setting, Assumptions and Results

We consider a theory of local observables, given by a family of von Neumann algebras $\mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{O})$ of operators acting in the vacuum Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_0$, indexed by the double cones $\mathcal{O}$ in Minkowski space, and satisfying the conditions of isotony and locality:

$$\mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{O}_1) \subset \mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{O}_2) \text{ if } \mathcal{O}_1 \subset \mathcal{O}_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{O}_1) \subset \mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{O}_2)' \text{ if } \mathcal{O}_1 \subset \mathcal{O}_2',$$

where the prime denotes the commutant or the causal complement, respectively. The vacuum Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_0$ carries a unitary representation U_0 of the group of space-time translations $\mathbb{R}^d$ with positive energy, i.e. its spectrum² lies in the forward light cone. It has a unique, up to a phase, invariant vector $\Omega \in \mathcal{H}_0$, corresponding to the vacuum state. The representation U_0 implements automorphisms under which the net $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{O})$ is covariant:

$$\text{Ad}U_0(x) \mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{A}_0(x + \mathcal{O}) \quad (1)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. (By $\text{Ad}U$ we denote the adjoint action of a unitary U .)

Borchers' theorem, which we wish to generalize to charged sectors, asserts that the representation U_0 has specific commutation relations with certain algebraic objects, the so-called modular group and conjugation, which suggest a geometric interpretation of the latter. Let us recall Borchers' commutation relations in this setting. Let W_1 be the wedge defined as

$$W_1 := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x^0| < x^1\}. \quad (2)$$

By the Reeh-Schlieder property, Ω is cyclic and separating for the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}_0(W_1)$ generated by all $\mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{O})$, $\mathcal{O} \subset W_1$. This allows for the definition of the Tomita operator [8], S_0 , associated to $\mathcal{A}_0(W_1)$: It is the closed anti-linear involution satisfying

$$S_0 A \Omega = A^* \Omega, \quad A \in \mathcal{A}_0(W_1). \quad (3)$$

Its polar decomposition, $S_0 = J_0 \Delta_0^{1/2}$, defines an anti-unitary involution J_0 , the so-called modular conjugation, and a positive operator Δ_0 giving rise to the so-called modular unitary group Δ_0^{it} associated to the wedge W_1 . By Tomita's Theorem, see e.g. [8], the adjoint action of Δ_0^{it} leaves $\mathcal{A}_0(W_1)$ invariant, and the adjoint action of J_0 maps $\mathcal{A}_0(W_1)$ onto its commutant $\mathcal{A}_0(W_1)'$. The mentioned theorem of Borchers now asserts that Δ_0^{it} and J_0 , together with the representation U_0 of the translations, induce a representation of the subgroup of P_+ generated by the boosts λ_t and the reflection j associated to the wedge, and the translations. More precisely, let λ_t be the (rescaled) 1-boosts, leaving W_1 invariant and acting on the coordinates x^0, x^1 as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cosh(2\pi t) & \sinh(-2\pi t) \\ \sinh(-2\pi t) & \cosh(2\pi t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

²By spectrum of a representation of the translation group we mean the energy-momentum spectrum, namely the joint spectrum of the generators.

and let j be the reflection about the edge of W_1 , acting on the coordinates x^0, x^1 as -1 and leaving the other coordinates unchanged (if $d > 2$). Then Borchers' theorem asserts that

$$\Delta_0^{it} U_0(x) \Delta_0^{-it} = U_0(\lambda_t x), \quad (5)$$

$$J_0 U_0(x) J_0 = U_0(jx) \quad (6)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. These relations implement the group relations of the translations with the boosts and reflections, respectively. Modular theory further implies that J_0 is an involution and commutes with the modular unitary group, implementing the group relations $j^2 = 1$ and $j\lambda_t j^{-1} = \lambda_t$. Altogether, $U_0(x)$, Δ_0^{it} and J_0 constitute a representation of the subgroup of the Poincaré group generated by the translations, the boosts λ_t and the reflection j (which is the direct product of the proper Poincaré group in the time-like x^0 - x^1 plane and the translation group in the remaining $d - 2$ dimensions).

Our aim is to find a similar result in a charged sector, that is in a representation of the abstract C^* -algebra generated by the local algebras $\mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{O})$, which is inequivalent from the defining vacuum representation. We shall consider an irreducible representation π , which is localizable in space-like cones. That means that π and the vacuum representation are unitarily equivalent in restriction to the observable algebra associated with the causal complement of any space-like cone.³ We assume that the observable algebra satisfies Haag duality for space-like cones and wedges, i.e., regions which arise by a proper Poincaré transformation from W_1 . Namely, denoting by $\mathcal{K}$ the class of space-like cones, their causal complements, and wedges, we require

$$\mathcal{A}_0(C') = \mathcal{A}_0(C)', \quad C \in \mathcal{K}. \quad (7)$$

A localizable representation can then be described by an endomorphism of the so-called universal algebra $\mathcal{A}$ generated by isomorphic images $\mathcal{A}(C)$ of the $\mathcal{A}_0(C)$, $C \in \mathcal{K}$, see [18, 19, 21]. The family of isomorphisms $\mathcal{A}(C) \cong \mathcal{A}_0(C)$ extends to a representation π_0 of $\mathcal{A}$, the vacuum representation. We then have

$$\mathcal{A}_0(C) = \pi_0 \mathcal{A}(C), \quad (8)$$

and the vacuum representation is faithful⁴ and normal on the local⁵ algebras $\mathcal{A}(C)$. The adjoint action (1) of the translations on the local algebras lifts to a representation by automorphisms α_x :

$$\text{Ad} U_0(x) \circ \pi_0 = \pi_0 \circ \alpha_x, \quad (9)$$

$$\alpha_x \mathcal{A}(C) = \mathcal{A}(x + C). \quad (10)$$

Our localizable representation π is then equivalent [12, 18] with a representation of the form $\pi_0 \circ \rho$ acting in $\mathcal{H}_0$, where ρ is an endomorphism of $\mathcal{A}$ localized in some

³It is known that every purely massive representation is localizable in space-like cones [10].

⁴However, π_0 is in general not faithful on the global algebra $\mathcal{A}$ due to the existence of global intertwiners [19].

⁵We call the algebras $\mathcal{A}(C)$ “local” although the regions C extend to infinity in some direction, just in distinction from the “global” algebra $\mathcal{A}$.

specific space-time region $C_0 \in \mathcal{K}$ in the sense that

$$\rho(A) = A \quad \text{if } A \in \mathcal{A}(C'_0). \quad (11)$$

We shall take the localization region of ρ to be properly contained in W_1 , which implies by Haag duality (7) that ρ restricts to an endomorphism of $\mathcal{A}(W_1)$. We shall require that this endomorphism of $\mathcal{A}(W_1)$ be irreducible, namely that

$$\pi_0 \mathcal{A}(W_1) \cap (\pi_0 \rho \mathcal{A}(W_1))' = \mathbb{C} \mathbf{1}. \quad (12)$$

This is a slightly stronger requirement than irreducibility of the representation $\pi_0 \rho$ of $\mathcal{A}$. It has been shown by Guido and Longo that irreducibility of $\pi_0 \rho$, together with finite statistics, imply irreducibility in the sense of Eq. (12) if ρ is covariant under the (proper orthochronous) Poincaré group [22, Cor. 2.10]⁶ or if ρ satisfies the split property [21, Prop. 6.3]. We further assume the representation $\pi \cong \pi_0 \rho$ to be translation covariant with positive energy. That means that there is a unitary representation U_ρ of the translation group $\mathbb{R}^d$ with spectrum contained in the forward light cone such that

$$\text{Ad} U_\rho(x) \circ \pi_0 \rho = \pi_0 \rho \circ \alpha_x, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (13)$$

We finally assume that ρ has finite statistics, i.e. that the so-called statistics parameter λ_ρ [12] be non-zero. This holds automatically if ρ is massive [16], and implies [13] the existence of a *conjugate* morphism $\bar{\rho}$ characterized, up to equivalence, by the fact that the composite sector $\pi_0 \bar{\rho} \rho$ contains the vacuum representation π_0 precisely once. Thus there is a unique, up to a factor, intertwiner $R_\rho \in \mathcal{A}(C_0)$ satisfying $\bar{\rho} \rho(A) R_\rho = R_\rho A$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. The conjugate $\bar{\rho}$ shares with ρ the properties of covariance (13), finite statistics, and localization (11) in some space-like cone which we choose to be C_0 . Using the normalization convention of [13, Eq. (3.14)], namely $R_\rho^* R_\rho = |\lambda_\rho|^{-1} \mathbf{1}$, the positive linear endomorphism ϕ_ρ of $\mathcal{A}$ defined as

$$\phi_\rho(A) = |\lambda_\rho| R_\rho^* \bar{\rho}(A) R_\rho \quad (14)$$

is the unique left inverse [10, 13] of ρ . In the low-dimensional situation, $d = 2, 3$, the statistics parameter λ_ρ may be a complex non-real number, corresponding to braid group statistics. We admit the case when its modulus is different from one (namely when ρ is not surjective), corresponding to non-Abelian braid group statistics.

The modular objects for which we shall prove Borchers' commutation relations are defined as follows. Let S_ρ be the closed anti-linear operator satisfying

$$S_\rho \pi_0(A) \Omega := \pi_0 \bar{\rho}(A^*) R_\rho \Omega, \quad A \in \mathcal{A}(W_1), \quad (15)$$

and denote the polar decomposition of S_ρ by $S_\rho = J_\rho \Delta_\rho^{1/2}$. S_ρ is just the relative Tomita operator [34] with respect to a certain pair of (non-normalized) states. Namely, consider the vacuum state $\omega_0 := (\Omega, \pi_0(\cdot) \Omega)$, and the positive functional

$$\varphi_\rho := |\lambda_\rho|^{-1} \omega_0 \circ \phi_\rho = (R_\rho \Omega, \pi_0 \bar{\rho}(\cdot) R_\rho \Omega).$$

⁶Although not explicitly mentioned in [22], the proof does not depend on covariance of ρ under the full Moebius group. See also [27, Thm. 2.2].

The restriction of φ_ρ to $\mathcal{A}(W_1)$ is faithful and normal, and has the GNS-triple $(\mathcal{H}_0, \pi_0 \bar{\rho}, R_\rho \Omega)$. Thus, S_ρ is the relative Tomita operator associated with the algebra $\mathcal{A}(W_1)$ and the pair of states ω_0 and φ_ρ , see Appendix A. The motivation to consider these objects (instead of the modular objects associated with $\mathcal{A}(W_1)$ and one suitable state, e.g. φ_ρ) is that the so-defined relative modular unitary group Δ_ρ^{it} implements the modular automorphism group associated with $\mathcal{A}(W_1)$ and ω_0 in the same way as the representation $U_\rho(x)$ implements the translations α_x , see Eq. (26) below. This opens up the possibility to lift Borchers' commutation relations (5) in the vacuum representation to the representation $\pi_0 \rho$. In fact, pursuing this strategy, we shall find the following result. Let G be the subgroup of the proper Poincaré group generated by the translations, the boosts λ_t and the reflection j . Recalling that the representation U_ρ may be shifted to a representation $e^{ik \cdot x} U_\rho(x)$ whose spectrum has a Lorentz invariant lower boundary [6],⁷ we show under the above-mentioned assumptions:

Theorem 1 (Commutation Relations.) *Assume that the lower boundary of the spectrum of U_ρ is Lorentz-invariant. Then $U_\rho(x)$, Δ_ρ^{it} , J_ρ and the counterparts for $\bar{\rho}$ constitute a continuous (anti-) unitary representation⁸ of G . More specifically, there hold the commutation relations*

$$\Delta_\rho^{it} U_\rho(x) \Delta_\rho^{-it} = U_\rho(\lambda_t x), \quad (16)$$

$$J_\rho U_\rho(x) J_\rho^{-1} = U_{\bar{\rho}}(jx), \quad (17)$$

$$J_\rho \Delta_\rho^{it} J_\rho^{-1} = \Delta_{\bar{\rho}}^{it}, \quad (18)$$

$$J_\rho J_{\bar{\rho}} = \chi_\rho \mathbf{1}, \quad (19)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The complex number χ_ρ in Eq. (19) has modulus one, conjugate $\bar{\chi}_\rho = \chi_{\bar{\rho}}$ and is a root of unity if $\bar{\rho} = \rho$.

(Note that Eq. (18) corresponds to a standard property of *modular* objects, but needs to be proved for our relative modular objects.)

We also show that this representation of G acts geometrically correctly on the wedge algebras, namely for W in the family $\mathcal{W}_1$ of translates of W_1 and W'_1 ,

$$\mathcal{W}_1 := \{x + W_1, x \in \mathbb{R}^d\} \cup \{x + W'_1, x \in \mathbb{R}^d\},$$

there holds

$$\text{Ad} \Delta_\rho^{it} : \pi_0 \rho \mathcal{A}(W) \rightarrow \pi_0 \rho \mathcal{A}(\lambda_t W), \quad (20)$$

$$\text{Ad} J_\rho : \pi_0 \rho \mathcal{A}(W) \rightarrow \pi_0 \bar{\rho} \mathcal{A}(jW). \quad (21)$$

To this end, observe that modular theory [8] and the relation $\pi_0^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_0(W_1)') = \mathcal{A}(W'_1)$ imply that Δ_0^{it} and J_0 implement an automorphism σ_t of $\mathcal{A}(W_1)$ and $\mathcal{A}(W'_1)$, and

⁷This is automatically the case if ρ is localizable in double cones and $d > 2$ by a result of Borchers [2], which is applicable since in this case ρ is implemented by local charged field operators [14]. It is also the case of course if U_ρ extends to the Poincaré group.

⁸Strictly speaking, a ray representation since $J_\rho J_{\bar{\rho}}$ is only a multiple of unity.

an anti-isomorphism from $\mathcal{A}(W_1)$ onto $\mathcal{A}(W'_1)$ and vice versa, respectively, defined by

$$\text{Ad}\Delta_0^{it} \circ \pi_0 = \pi_0 \circ \sigma_t \quad (22)$$

$$\text{Ad}J_0 \circ \pi_0 = \pi_0 \circ \alpha_j \quad (23)$$

on $\mathcal{A}(W_1) \cup \mathcal{A}(W'_1)$. By Borchers' commutation relations, the same equations extend σ_t and α_j to the family $\mathcal{A}(W)$, $W \in \mathcal{W}_1$, acting in a geometrically correct way:

$$\sigma_t : \mathcal{A}(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\lambda_t W), \quad (24)$$

$$\alpha_j : \mathcal{A}(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(jW), \quad (25)$$

$W \in \mathcal{W}_1$, see [3, Lem. III.2]. But our representers Δ_ρ^{it} and J_ρ implement these isomorphisms σ_t and α_j , respectively, in the direct product representation $\pi_0\rho \oplus \pi_0\bar{\rho}$, namely:

Proposition 1 (Implementation Properties.) *There holds*

$$\text{Ad}\Delta_\rho^{it} \circ \pi_0\rho = \pi_0\rho \circ \sigma_t \quad (26)$$

$$\text{Ad}J_\rho \circ \pi_0\rho = \pi_0\bar{\rho} \circ \alpha_j \quad (27)$$

on the family of algebras $\mathcal{A}(W)$, $W \in \mathcal{W}_1$.

Since σ_t and α_j act geometrically correctly, c.f. Eq.s (24) and (25), this implies that Δ_ρ^{it} and J_ρ act geometrically correctly, as claimed in Eq.s (20) and (21).

In two space-time dimensions, our group G already coincides with the proper Poincaré group P_+ , and our results therefore imply that the translations and the relative modular objects constitute an (anti-) unitary representation of the latter. By our assumption of Haag duality (7) for wedges, the so-called dual net

$$\mathcal{A}^d(\mathcal{O}) := \bigcap_{W \supset \mathcal{O}} \mathcal{A}(W)$$

is still local. (One needs to intersect in fact only the algebras of one “right wedge” of the form $W_1 + x$ and one “left wedge” of the form $W'_1 + y$.) The modular (anti-) automorphisms σ_t and α_j act on it in a geometrically correct way, see [3, Prop. III.3]. If the original net satisfies Haag duality also for double cones, it coincides with the dual net. Then the implementation properties (26) and (27) hold, and therefore the representation of P_+ constructed in Theorem 1 acts geometrically correctly, namely there holds for any double cone $\mathcal{O}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}U_\rho(g) : \pi_0\rho\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}) &\rightarrow \pi_0\rho\mathcal{A}(g\mathcal{O}), \quad g \in P_+^\uparrow, \\ \text{Ad}J_\rho : \pi_0\rho\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}) &\rightarrow \pi_0\bar{\rho}\mathcal{A}(j\mathcal{O}). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Here we have written $U_\rho(a, \lambda_t) := U_\rho(a)\Delta_\rho^{it}$. In particular, J_ρ is a CPT operator.⁹ Again, it must be noted that these results (in $d = 2$) are already implicit in the work of Guido and Longo [21], and also that the split property would exclude any charged sectors in our sense.

⁹If the net does not satisfy Haag duality for double cones, it does not coincide with the dual net. Then our endomorphism ρ has two generally distinct extensions $\rho_{R/L}$ to the dual net, according a choice of the right or left wedge [32]. (Each of them is localizable only in one type of wedges.) In this case, J_ρ intertwines $\pi_0\rho_R$ with $\pi_0\bar{\rho}_L\alpha_j$, and in Eq. (28) there appears ρ_R on one side and $\bar{\rho}_L$ on the other side.

2 Proofs

We now prove Theorem 1 and Proposition 1. Instead of proving Borchers' commutation relations directly (e.g. paralleling Florig's nice proof [15]), we show how they lift from the vacuum sector to our charged sector. We shall use some well-known facts about relative modular objects, which we recall in the Appendix for the convenience of the reader, see also [34] for a review. Namely, the operator $\Delta_\rho^{it}\Delta_0^{-it}$ is in $\pi_0\mathcal{A}(W_1)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and we define

$$Z_\rho(t) := \pi_0^{-1}(\Delta_\rho^{it}\Delta_0^{-it}) \in \mathcal{A}(W_1). \quad (29)$$

This family of observables coincides with the Connes cocycle $(D\varphi_\rho : D\omega_0)_t$ with respect to the pair of weights ω_0 and φ_ρ , see Eq. (A.2). In the present context, it satisfies

$$\text{Ad}Z_\rho(t) \circ \sigma_t \circ \rho = \rho \circ \sigma_t \quad \text{on } \mathcal{A}(W_1), \quad (30)$$

see Proposition 1.1 in [26]. The definition (29) and Eq. (30) are analogous to well-known properties of the translation cocycles which we shall use in the sequel. Observe that for $a \in W_1^-$, the closure of W_1 , we have $W_1 + a \subset W_1$ and $W'_1 - a \subset W'_1$. Since ρ acts trivially on W'_1 , this implies that the operator $U_\rho(a)U_0(-a)$ is in $\pi_0\mathcal{A}(W'_1)'$ which coincides with $\pi_0\mathcal{A}(W_1)$ by Haag duality. This gives rise to the translation cocycle

$$Y_\rho(a) := \pi_0^{-1}(U_\rho(a)U_0(-a)) \in \mathcal{A}(W_1), \quad a \in W_1^-. \quad (31)$$

By virtue of Eq.s (9) and (13), it satisfies the intertwiner relation

$$\text{Ad}Y_\rho(x) \circ \alpha_x \circ \rho = \rho \circ \alpha_x, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (32)$$

The definitions of the cocycles $Z_\rho(t)$ and $Y_\rho(x)$, the intertwiner relations (30) and (32), and invariance of Ω under Δ_0^{it} and $U_0(x)$ imply the identities

$$\Delta_\rho^{it} \pi_0(A)\Omega = \pi_0(Z_\rho(t)\sigma_t(A))\Omega, \quad A \in \mathcal{A}(W_1), \quad (33)$$

$$U_\rho(x) \pi_0(A)\Omega = \pi_0(Y_\rho(x)\alpha_x(A))\Omega, \quad A \in \mathcal{A}, \quad (34)$$

which we shall frequently use in the sequel. We shall also use the fact that Borchers' theorem applied to the observable algebra implies that

$$\sigma_t \alpha_{\lambda_{-t}x} \sigma_{-t} = \alpha_x \quad (35)$$

holds as an isomorphism from $\mathcal{A}(W)$ onto $\mathcal{A}(W+x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $W \in \mathcal{W}_1$. Finally, we make the interesting observation that S_ρ is the relative Tomita operator associated not only with the pair of states (ω_0, φ_ρ) , but also with pair of states $(\varphi_{\bar{\rho}}, \omega_0)$:

Lemma 1 *The span, D , of vectors of the form $\pi_0[\rho(A)R_{\bar{\rho}}]\Omega$, $A \in \mathcal{A}(W_1)$, is a core for the relative Tomita operator S_ρ , and S_ρ acts on D as*

$$S_\rho \pi_0 \rho(A) R_{\bar{\rho}} \Omega = \chi_\rho \pi_0(A^*) \Omega, \quad A \in \mathcal{A}(W_1), \quad (36)$$

where χ_ρ is a complex number of modulus one, with $\bar{\chi}_\rho = \chi_{\bar{\rho}}$, and is a root of unity if $\bar{\rho} = \rho$.

Proof. Eq.s (30), (33) and (41) imply that for $A \in \mathcal{A}(W_1)$ there holds

$$\Delta_\rho^{it} \pi_0[\rho(A)R_{\bar{\rho}}]\Omega = \pi_0[\rho\sigma_t(AZ_{\bar{\rho}}(-t))R_{\bar{\rho}}]\Omega.$$

Thus, the domain D is invariant under the unitary group Δ_ρ^{it} . It is therefore a core for $\Delta_\rho^{1/2}$ and hence for S_ρ . On this core, we have by definition

$$S_\rho \pi_0[\rho(A)R_{\bar{\rho}}]\Omega = \pi_0[\bar{\rho}(R_{\bar{\rho}}^*)R_\rho A^*]\Omega.$$

But $\bar{\rho}(R_{\bar{\rho}}^*)R_\rho$ is a self-intertwiner of ρ , hence a multiple of unity, $\chi_\rho \mathbf{1}$. This proves Eq. (36). For the stated properties of χ_ρ , see [19, Eq. (3.2)]. $\square$

Since $(\mathcal{H}_0, \pi_0\rho, R_{\bar{\rho}}\Omega)$ is the GNS triple for the (non-normalized) state $\varphi_{\bar{\rho}}$ and $\chi_\rho\Omega$ is a GNS vector for ω_0 , the Lemma implies that S_ρ is the relative Tomita operator associated with the pair of states $(\varphi_{\bar{\rho}}, \omega_0)$.

Proof of Theorem 1. To prove Eq. (16) of Theorem 1, let $A \in \mathcal{A}(W_1)$ and $a \in W_1^-$. Using Eq.s (33), (34) and (35), we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\rho^{it} U_\rho(\lambda_{-t}a) \Delta_\rho^{-it} \pi_0(A)\Omega &= \pi_0(\hat{Y}_\rho(a, t) \alpha_a(A))\Omega, \\ \hat{Y}_\rho(a, t) &:= Z_\rho(t) \sigma_t(Y_\rho(\lambda_{-t}a) \alpha_{\lambda_{-t}a}(Z_\rho(-t))). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

The intertwiner relations (30) and (32) imply that on $\mathcal{A}(W_1)$ there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad} \hat{Y}_\rho(a, t) \circ \alpha_a \circ \rho &\equiv \text{Ad} Z_\rho(t) \circ \sigma_t \circ \text{Ad} Y_\rho(\lambda_{-t}a) \circ \alpha_{\lambda_{-t}a} \circ \text{Ad} Z_\rho(-t) \circ \sigma_{-t} \circ \rho \\ &= \rho \circ \sigma_t \circ \alpha_{\lambda_{-t}a} \circ \sigma_{-t} = \rho \circ \alpha_a. \end{aligned}$$

That is, $\hat{Y}_\rho(a, t)$ satisfies the same intertwiner relation (32) on $\mathcal{A}(W_1)$ as $Y_\rho(a)$. On the other hand, $\hat{Y}_\rho(a, t)$ is also contained in $\mathcal{A}(W_1)$. Therefore $\hat{Y}_\rho(a, t) Y_\rho(a)^*$ is in $(\rho\mathcal{A}(W_1))' \cap \mathcal{A}(W_1)$ which is trivial by our assumption (12) of irreducibility. Thus $\hat{Y}_\rho(a, t)$ coincides with $Y_\rho(a)$ up to a scalar function $c(a, t)$. Hence Eq. (37) reads

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\rho^{it} U_\rho(\lambda_{-t}a) \Delta_\rho^{-it} \pi_0(A)\Omega &= c(a, t) \pi_0(Y_\rho(a) \alpha_a(A))\Omega \\ &\equiv c(a, t) U_\rho(a) \pi_0(A)\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Since the vacuum is cyclic for $\pi_0\mathcal{A}(W_1)$ by the Reeh-Schlieder property, this shows that

$$\Delta_\rho^{it} U_\rho(\lambda_{-t}a) \Delta_\rho^{-it} = c(a, t) U_\rho(a) \quad (38)$$

for $a \in W_1^-$. By adjoining, we get an analogous equation for $-a \in W_1^-$. Since the closures of W_1 and $-W_1$ span the whole Minkowski space, this shows that there is a function $c(a, t)$ such that Eq. (38) holds for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$. It remains to show that $c(a, t) \equiv 1$. Eq. (38) gives us a ray representation of the group G generated by the boosts λ_t and the translations in the 0, 1-plane, defined by

$$U(a, \lambda_t) := U_\rho(a) \Delta_\rho^{it}.$$

(The group G is a subgroup of $P_+^\dagger$ in $d = 3$ and coincides with $P_+^\dagger$ in $d = 2$. The product in G is $(a, \lambda_t) \cdot (a', \lambda_{t'}) = (a + \lambda_t a', \lambda_{t+t'})$.) Now G is simply connected, and its second cohomology group is known to be trivial. Therefore there exists a function ν from G into the unit circle such that $\hat{U}(g) := \nu(g) U(g)$ is a true representation of G . Eq. (38) then implies that

$$c(a, t) = \nu(a, \mathbf{1}) \nu(\lambda_{-t} a, \mathbf{1})^{-1}. \quad (39)$$

Since U_ρ is a true representation of the translations, the restriction of ν to the translations is a one-dimensional representation, that is of the form $\nu(a, \mathbf{1}) = e^{ik \cdot a}$. Therefore, the spectra of the representations $\hat{U} = \nu \otimes U$ and U differ by a translation about a vector k . But the spectrum of $\hat{U}$ is invariant under the 1-boosts since $\hat{U}$ extends to a true representation of the (2-dimensional) Poincaré group G , and the lower boundary of the spectrum of U is also Lorentz invariant since it coincides with the spectrum of U_ρ . This implies that $k = 0$ and hence, by Eq. (39), that $c(a, t) \equiv 1$. This completes the proof of Eq. (16) of the Theorem.

We now prove Eq. (18) of the Theorem. For $A \in \mathcal{A}(W_1)$, we have by Eq. (33) and the intertwiner relation (30)

$$\Delta_\rho^{it} S_\rho \Delta_\rho^{-it} \pi_0(A) \Omega = \pi_0(\bar{\rho}(A^*) Z_\rho(t) \sigma_t[\bar{\rho}(Z_\rho(-t)^*) R_\rho]) \Omega. \quad (40)$$

We shall now use a result of Longo [26]. Namely, we are in the situation where Propositions 1.3 and 1.4 in [26] apply, yielding

$$R_\rho^* \bar{\rho}(Z_\rho(-t)) Z_\rho(-t) = \sigma_{-t}(R_\rho^*).$$

Applying σ_t , adjoining, and using the cocycle identity $Z_\rho(t) \sigma_t(Z_\rho(-t)) = 1$, see Eq. (A.1), yields

$$Z_\rho(t) \sigma_t[\bar{\rho}(Z_\rho(-t)^*) R_\rho] = R_\rho. \quad (41)$$

Hence Eq. (40) reads

$$\Delta_\rho^{it} S_\rho \Delta_\rho^{-it} \pi_0(A) \Omega = \pi_0(\bar{\rho}(A^*) R_\rho) \Omega \equiv S_\rho \pi_0(A) \Omega.$$

Since Δ_ρ^{it} maps the core $\pi_0 \mathcal{A}(W_1) \Omega$ of S_ρ onto itself by Eq. (33), this shows that

$$\Delta_\rho^{it} S_\rho \Delta_\rho^{-it} = S_\rho,$$

which implies Eq. (18) of the Theorem. For the proof of Eq. (17) we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 2 *For a in the closure of W_1 , there holds*

$$U_{\bar{\rho}}(a)^{-1} S_\rho U_\rho(a) \subset S_\rho. \quad (42)$$

Proof. First recall from [10, 16] that the representation $\hat{U}_{\bar{\rho}}$ defined by

$$\hat{U}_{\bar{\rho}}(x) \pi_0[\bar{\rho}(A) R_\rho] \Omega := \pi_0[\bar{\rho}(\alpha_x(A) Y_\rho(x)^*) R_\rho] \Omega \quad (43)$$

implements α_x in the representation $\pi_0 \bar{\rho}$, i.e. $\text{Ad} \hat{U}_{\bar{\rho}}(x) \circ \pi_0 \bar{\rho} = \pi_0 \bar{\rho} \circ \alpha_x$.¹⁰ The representation $\hat{U}_{\bar{\rho}}$ therefore coincides with $U_{\bar{\rho}}$ up to a one-dimensional representation $c(\cdot)$. We now have, for a in the closure of W_1 and $A \in \mathcal{A}(W_1 - a)$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\rho} U_{\rho}(a) \pi_0(A) \Omega &= \pi_0[\bar{\rho}(\alpha_a(A^*) Y_{\rho}(a)^*) R_{\rho}] \Omega = \hat{U}_{\bar{\rho}}(a) \pi_0[\bar{\rho}(A^*) R_{\rho}] \Omega \\ &= \hat{U}_{\bar{\rho}}(a) S_{\rho} \pi_0(A) \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\hat{U}_{\bar{\rho}}$ and $U_{\bar{\rho}}$ coincide up to the character c as discussed above, we therefore have

$$U_{\bar{\rho}}(-a) S_{\rho} U_{\rho}(a) = c(a) S_{\rho} \quad \text{on } D := \mathcal{A}_0(W_1 - a) \Omega.$$

Applying $\Delta_{\bar{\rho}}^{it} \cdot \Delta_{\rho}^{-it}$ to this equation and using the by now established Eq.s (16) and (18) of the Theorem, yields $c(\lambda_t a) = c(a)$ or $c((\mathbb{1} - \lambda_t)a) = 1$. By the representation property of c , the same holds for $-a \in W_1^-$. Since W_1^- and $-W_1^-$ span the whole Minkowski space and $1 - \lambda_t$ is invertible for $t \neq 0$, this shows that c is trivial. Since D is a core for the left hand side of relation (42), this completes the proof. $\square$

We are now ready to prove Eq. (17) of the Theorem. To this end, let $a \in W_1^-$ and $\phi \in D := \mathcal{A}_0(W_1 - a) \Omega$. By Eq (16), we have for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\Delta_{\rho}^{it} U_{\rho}(a) \phi = U_{\rho}(\lambda_t a) \Delta_{\rho}^{it} \phi. \quad (44)$$

Now by Lemma 2, the vector $U_{\rho}(a) \phi$ is in the domain of the operator $\Delta_{\rho}^{1/2}$, hence the left hand side is bounded for t in the strip $\mathbb{R} - i[0, 1/2]$ and analytic in its interior. The same holds for the vector valued function $t \mapsto \Delta_{\rho}^{it} \phi$ on the right hand side. Further, for $a \in W_1^-$ the operator valued function $t \mapsto U_{\rho}(\lambda_t a)$ is norm-bounded on the strip $\mathbb{R} - i[0, 1/2]$ and analytic in its interior, and at $t = -i/2$ has the value $U_{\rho}(ja)$, see e.g. [24, Section V.4.1]. Therefore, Eq. (44) implies that

$$\Delta_{\rho}^{1/2} U_{\rho}(a) \phi = U_{\rho}(ja) \Delta_{\rho}^{1/2} \phi.$$

Multiplying with J_{ρ} and using relation (42) of Lemma 2 yields

$$U_{\bar{\rho}}(a) S_{\rho} \phi = J_{\rho} U_{\rho}(ja) J_{\rho}^{-1} S_{\rho} \phi. \quad (45)$$

Since S_{ρ} has dense range, this shows Eq. (17) for $x = ja$ in the closure of jW_1 and, by adjoining, also for arbitrary x . This completes the proof of Eq. (17) of the Theorem. To prove Eq. (19), note that Lemma 1 implies that $S_{\rho} = \chi_{\rho} S_{\bar{\rho}}^{-1}$. Using that $\Delta_{\bar{\rho}}^{-1/2} J_{\bar{\rho}}^{-1} = J_{\bar{\rho}}^{-1} \Delta_{\rho}^{1/2}$ by Eq. (18) and that $J_{\bar{\rho}}$ is anti-linear, one gets Eq. (19). This completes the proof of the Theorem.

¹⁰We recall the argument in the present setting. The endomorphism $\alpha_{-x} \circ \phi_{\rho} \circ \beta_x$, where $\beta_x := \text{Ad} Y_{\rho}(x) \circ \alpha_x$, is a left inverse of ρ and therefore coincides with ϕ_{ρ} by uniqueness. This implies that the state φ_{ρ} is invariant under the automorphism group β_x and hence that

$$U_{\bar{\rho}\rho}(x) \pi_0[\bar{\rho}(A) R_{\rho}] \Omega := \pi_0[\bar{\rho} \beta_x(A) R_{\rho}] \Omega$$

defines a unitary representation of the translations. But $\hat{U}_{\bar{\rho}}(x)$ defined above coincides with $\pi_0 \bar{\rho}(Y_{\rho}(x)^*) U_{\bar{\rho}\rho}(x)$, hence is a well-defined unitary operator. The implementation property is checked directly from the definition (43), and implies in turn the representation property.

Proof of Proposition 1. We now turn to Eq. (26) of Proposition 1. On $\mathcal{A}(W_1)$, this equation follows from Eq. (30) by applying π_0 to the latter. Further, the fact that $\pi_0^{-1}(\Delta_\rho^{it}\Delta_0^{-it})$ is in $\mathcal{A}(W_1)$ and hence commutes with $\mathcal{A}(W'_1)$ implies that $\text{Ad}\Delta_\rho^{it} \circ \pi_0 = \pi_0 \circ \sigma_t$ on $\mathcal{A}(W'_1)$. Since ρ acts as the identity on $\mathcal{A}(W'_1)$, this implies Eq. (26) on $\mathcal{A}(W'_1)$. For translates of W_1 or W'_1 , the equation follows from Borchers' commutation relations, Eq.s (16) and (35). Before proving Eq. (27) of the proposition, we establish the following intertwiner properties of the relative modular conjugation.

Lemma 3 (Intertwiner Properties of J_ρ .) *The unitary operators $J_\rho J_0$ and $J_0 J_\rho$ have the intertwiner properties*

$$\pi_0 \bar{\rho}(A) J_\rho J_0 = J_\rho J_0 \pi_0(A), \quad (46)$$

$$\pi_0(A) J_0 J_\rho = J_0 J_\rho \pi_0 \rho(A) \quad (47)$$

for $A \in \mathcal{A}(W_1)$.

Proof. These are consequences of a standard result [34] which relates the conjugations of relative Tomita operators, see Eq. (A.3) in the Appendix. Here, in Eq. (46) S_ρ is being considered as the relative Tomita operator associated with the pair of states (ω_0, φ_ρ) , characterized by Eq. (15), and in Eq. (47) as the relative Tomita operator associated with the pair $(\varphi_{\bar{\rho}}, \omega_0)$, characterized by Eq. (36) of Lemma 1. $\square$

We are now ready to prove Eq. (27) of Proposition 1. By Eq.s (47) and Eq. (23) we have on $\mathcal{A}(W_1)$

$$\text{Ad}J_\rho \circ \pi_0 \rho \equiv \text{Ad}J_0 \circ \text{Ad}(J_0 J_\rho) \circ \pi_0 \rho = \pi_0 \circ \alpha_j = \pi_0 \bar{\rho} \circ \alpha_j,$$

since $\bar{\rho}$ acts as the identity on $\alpha_j \mathcal{A}(W_1) \equiv \mathcal{A}(W'_1)$, while by Eq. (46) and Eq. (23) we have on $\mathcal{A}(W'_1)$

$$\text{Ad}J_\rho \circ \pi_0 \rho = \text{Ad}J_\rho \circ \pi_0 \equiv \text{Ad}(J_\rho J_0) \circ \text{Ad}J_0 \circ \pi_0 = \pi_0 \bar{\rho} \circ \alpha_j.$$

This shows that Eq. (27) holds on $\mathcal{A}(W_1) \cup \mathcal{A}(W'_1)$. Borchers' commutation relations then imply that it holds on $\mathcal{A}(W)$, $W \in \mathcal{W}_1$, completing the proof of Proposition 1.

A Relative Tomita Operators

We recall the relevant notions from relative Tomita theory, following [34]. (For the standard Tomita theory, see e.g. [8] and Eq. (3) above.) Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra and φ_1, φ_2 two faithful normal positive functionals on $\mathcal{M}$, and denote by σ_t^1 and σ_t^2 the respective modular automorphism groups. Then there exists a family of unitaries $Z_{21}(t) \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfying the intertwiner and cocycle properties

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_t^2(A) Z_{21}(t) &= Z_{21}(t) \sigma_t^1(A), \\ Z_{21}(t+s) &= Z_{21}(t) \sigma_t^1(Z_{21}(s)), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

respectively, and characterized by a certain KMS property. These facts have been shown by Connes [11] and are reviewed in [34, Sect. I.3.1]. The family $Z_{21}(t)$ is called the Connes-cocycle associated with the pair φ_1 and φ_2 and usually denoted by $(D\varphi_1 : D\varphi_2)_t$. This cocycle may be expressed in terms of the corresponding GNS representations as follows [34, Sect. I.3.11]. Let $(\mathcal{H}_i, \pi_i, \xi_i)$ be the GNS triples of φ_i , $i = 1, 2$. Then the operator S_{21} from $\mathcal{H}_1$ to $\mathcal{H}_2$ defined by

$$S_{21} \pi_1(A) \xi_1 := \pi_2(A^*) \xi_2, \quad A \in \mathcal{M},$$

is closable. We denote its closure by the same symbol, and its polar decomposition by

$$S_{21} = J_{21} \Delta_{21}^{1/2}.$$

These operators are called the relative Tomita modular objects associated with the pair φ_1 and φ_2 . Let now Δ_1^{it} denote the unitary modular group of $\pi_1(\mathcal{M})$ and ξ_1 . Then $\Delta_{21}^{it} \Delta_1^{-it}$ is in $\pi_1(\mathcal{M})$ and coincides with $\pi_1(Z_{21}(t))$, i.e. there holds [34, Sect. I.3.11]

$$Z_{21}(t) = \pi_1^{-1}(\Delta_{21}^{it} \Delta_1^{-it}). \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Finally, as shown in [34, Sect. I.3.16], the unitary operator

$$V_{21} := J_{21} J_1 \equiv J_2 J_{21},$$

where J_i is the modular conjugation of $\pi_i(\mathcal{M})$ and ξ_i , $i = 1, 2$, is an intertwiner from π_1 to π_2 , that means it satisfies

$$\pi_2(A) V_{21} = V_{21} \pi_1(A), \quad A \in \mathcal{M}. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Acknowledgements. I gratefully acknowledge financial support by FAPEMIG.

References

- [1] C. Adler, *Braid group statistics in two-dimensional quantum field theory*, Rev. Math. Phys. **7** (1996), 907–924.
- [2] H.J. Borchers, *Local rings and the connection of spin with statistics*, Commun. Math. Phys. **1** (1965), 281–307.
- [3] ———, *The CPT-theorem in two-dimensional theories of local observables*, Commun. Math. Phys. **143** (1992), 315–332.
- [4] ———, *On Poincaré transformations and the modular group of the algebra associated with a wedge*, Lett. Math. Phys. **46** (1998), 295–301.
- [5] ———, *On revolutionizing quantum field theory with Tomita’s modular theory*, J. Math. Phys. **41** (2000), 3604–3673.
- [6] H.J. Borchers and D. Buchholz, *The energy-momentum spectrum in local field theories with broken Lorentz-symmetry*, Commun. Math. Phys. **97** (1985), 169–185.

- [7] H.J. Borchers and J. Yngvason, *On the PCT-theorem in the theory of local observables*, Mathematical Physics in Mathematics and Physics (Siena) (R. Longo, ed.), Fields Institute Communications, vol. 30, 2001, pp. 39–64.
- [8] O. Bratteli and D.W. Robinson, *Operator algebras and quantum statistical mechanics 1*, second ed., TMP, Springer, New York, 1987.
- [9] R. Brunetti, D. Guido, and R. Longo, *Modular structure and duality in conformal field theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. **156** (1993), 201–219.
- [10] D. Buchholz and K. Fredenhagen, *Locality and the structure of particle states*, Commun. Math. Phys. **84** (1982), 1–54.
- [11] A. Connes, *The Tomita-Takesaki theory and classification of type-III factors*, Proc. Int. School Phys. Enrico Fermi, 1973, pp. 29–46.
- [12] S. Doplicher, R. Haag, and J.E. Roberts, *Local observables and particle statistics I*, Commun. Math. Phys. **23** (1971), 199.
- [13] ———, *Local observables and particle statistics II*, Commun. Math. Phys. **35** (1974), 49–85.
- [14] S. Doplicher and J.E. Roberts, *Why there is a field algebra with a compact gauge group describing the superselection structure in particle physics*, Commun. Math. Phys. **131** (1990), 51–107.
- [15] M. Florig, *On Borchers’ theorem*, Lett. Math. Phys. **46** (1998), 289–293.
- [16] K. Fredenhagen, *On the existence of antiparticles*, Commun. Math. Phys. **79** (1981), 141–151.
- [17] ———, *Structure of superselection sectors in low dimensional quantum field theory*, Proceedings (Lake Tahoe City) (L.L. Chau and W. Nahm, eds.), 1989.
- [18] ———, *Generalizations of the theory of superselection sectors*, The algebraic theory of superselection sectors. Introduction and recent results. (D. Kastler, ed.), World Scientific, 1990.
- [19] K. Fredenhagen, K.-H. Rehren, and B. Schroer, *Superselection sectors with braid group statistics and exchange algebras II: Geometric aspects and conformal covariance*, Rev. Math. Phys. **SI1** (1992), 113–157.
- [20] J. Fröhlich and P.A. Marchetti, *Quantum field theories of vortices and anyons*, Commun. Math. Phys. **121** (1989), 177–223.
- [21] D. Guido and R. Longo, *Relativistic invariance and charge conjugation in quantum field theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. **148** (1992), 521–551.
- [22] ———, *The conformal spin and statistics theorem*, Commun. Math. Phys. **181** (1996), 11–35.

- [23] ———, *Natural energy bounds in quantum thermodynamics*, Commun. Math. Phys. **218** (2001), 513–536.
- [24] R. Haag, *Local quantum physics*, second ed., Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1996.
- [25] B. Kuckert, *Two uniqueness results on the Unruh effect and on PCT-symmetry*, Commun. Math. Phys. **221** (2001), 77–100.
- [26] R. Longo, *An analogue of the Kac-Wakimoto formula and black hole conditional entropy*, Commun. Math. Phys. **186** (1997), 451–479.
- [27] ———, *On the spin-statistics relation for topological charges*, Operator Algebras and Quantum Field Theory (S. Doplicher, R. Longo, J. Roberts, and L. Zsidó, eds.), Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1997, pp. 661–669.
- [28] M. Müger, *Superselection structure of massive quantum field theories in 1+1 dimensions*, Rev. Math. Phys. **10** (1998), 1147–1170.
- [29] J. Mund, *The CPT and Bisognano-Wichmann theorems for anyons and plektons in $d=2+1$* , in preparation.
- [30] ———, *The Bisognano-Wichmann theorem for massive theories*, Ann. H. Poinc. **2** (2001), 907–926.
- [31] K.-H. Rehren, *Spin statistics and CPT for solitons*, Lett. Math. Phys. **95** (1998), 110.
- [32] J.E. Roberts, *Local cohomology and superselection structure*, Commun. Math. Phys. **51** (1976), 107–119.
- [33] B. Schroer and H.-W. Wiesbrock, *Modular theory and geometry*, Rev. Math. Phys. **12** (2000), 139–158.
- [34] S. Strătilă, *Modular theory in operator algebras*, Abacus Press, Tunbridge Wells, England, 1981.